Dynastic Successions Dedication

Dynastic because the USA is not a free country and the USA is threat to the world. Jen Moore argued (as an insider, as a whistle-blower in fear of her life) that the USA is under complete control of predatory fraud systems, and that system kills whistle-blowers, destroys their lives, etc., so our corruption is never truly understood or or known, not unless the police and their corrupt allies are caught on tape, recorded during their crimes, and that evidence exists. This book like all others is about the USA and that evidence. The evidence is archived in places like my Cold War Archive and explained in much smaller file size works like this ebook free for all. This book is dedicated to the Truth and Truthtelllers however much dangerous that poses from within the Unites States

This book is also dedicated to Atheism and the knowledge of the delusions, frauds, and crimes of religious groups all through history and trying to become safe from them.

❖ Categories of a large fabric of events and people in threads of that history

My origins, how that tied into the Cold War and before and after the Cold War, my views to today, and the excuses made around me views today.

❝

- **➞a McMartin School child:** Much history including US presidential history flowed through those schools and I am trying to get my memoir out as it and I am being subverted.
- **➞ What happened in the Cold War when the US when at the times the hid its activities and hid its motives and hid their results:** Ed Donegan asserts anti-communism was only part of the motives of the cold war. Social control with press coordination and genetic research of famous families was underway to designed not to embarrass those families with diagnosis of genetic conditions or of reports of bastard children. This includes Borderline Personality and Trauma susceptibility. Ed Donegan's family of origin and many other families are connected to that history. People, Interrupted and the how it is tied into some families in the Kennedy's political history my family included.

- → **Reasons Ed Donegan should not be attacked:** His views are like Ann Coulter's, Pat Buchanan's and later Donald Trumps. His views on Birther are like Micheal Hastings and Andrew Breitbart. These are in the field of journalism and public debate.
- → **My Memoirs are a threat to corruption and the real reason for attacks:** My books are about my fight for justice (supported by very few) during slanderous and sabotage attacks on me. The counter attacks on me should be viewed that corruption does exist and is defending its existence.

  ”

In the history of McMartin preschool and Franklin, NE Boys town

Jen Moore. Phillip Marshal. Gary Caradori. John DeCamp. William Colby. Ted Gunderson. Loran Schmidt of Nebraska State Senate Leadership Conference. And other investigators of events at the McMartin preschool and the events in Franklin, NE both linked to George H.W. Bush and the placement children around the world sometimes in cover identities and sometimes coming from families with cover identities.

US Dissent During MK Ultra

And to Patty Hearst the by life experience PhD on MK-Ultra who was deprogrammed from US Media company propaganda by radicalized undergrads. To Hanoi Jane.

And to Mark Lane Jr.

If a faked birth certificate was found to exist in Mombasa Kenya that may be a better start to an investigation than the end of one

That's a useful way to frame the concept. The emphasis is not on never forming hypotheses, but on distinguishing between **working hypotheses** and **premature conclusions**. Investigative disciplines generally encourage generating multiple plausible explanations while delaying

commitment until the evidence is sufficiently tested.

You might articulate it like this:

> In complex investigations, especially those involving major crimes, accidents, intelligence matters, or scientific questions, investigators often begin with multiple competing theories. Some lines of inquiry initially appear promising but later prove to be dead ends. The purpose of a professional investigation is not to confirm the first plausible explanation, but to systematically test competing hypotheses against the available evidence.

You can then develop the idea further:

- → A **working hypothesis** is a temporary explanation used to guide evidence collection. It is expected to change if new evidence warrants it.
- → A **premature conclusion** occurs when an investigator, juror, journalist, or member of the public treats an unproven hypothesis as settled fact before the evidence justifies doing so.
- → Once a conclusion is adopted too early, there is a risk of **confirmation bias**. Evidence supporting the favored theory may receive greater attention, while contradictory evidence may be discounted or overlooked.
- → Early commitment can also narrow the scope of an investigation. Alternative explanations may not be adequately explored, witnesses may not be interviewed, and physical evidence that could distinguish between competing theories may never be collected.
- → Some investigative paths naturally become dead ends. Discovering that a hypothesis is incorrect is not a failure; it is often an important step toward identifying the explanation that best fits all of the evidence.

- ➜ Professional investigators therefore distinguish between **evaluating** a theory and **endorsing** it. Every significant theory should remain open to testing until the evidence clearly favors one explanation over the others.

This principle appears in many fields:

- ➜ Criminal investigations seek to avoid "tunnel vision," where investigators become overly committed to one suspect or theory.
- ➜ Air accident investigations routinely examine multiple possible causes simultaneously, with investigators avoiding early causal conclusions until the evidence has been thoroughly analyzed.
- ➜ Scientific research relies on the testing and potential rejection of hypotheses rather than assuming the first explanation is correct.
- ➜ Jurors are instructed to keep an open mind throughout the trial, weighing all of the evidence before reaching a verdict rather than deciding the outcome after the opening statements or the testimony of only a few witnesses.

A useful distinction is between **hypothesis-driven investigation** and **conclusion-driven investigation**:

- ➜ **Hypothesis-driven investigation:** Evidence determines which theory survives.
- ➜ **Conclusion-driven investigation:** A preferred theory determines which evidence is emphasized or discounted.

The first approach is designed to reduce error. The second increases the risk that an investigation will reach an incorrect conclusion by overlooking evidence that does not fit the favored explanation.

Terms commonly associated with this topic include:

- ➜ Premature closure
- ➜ Rush to judgment
- ➜ Tunnel vision

- ➜ Confirmation bias
- ➜ Anchoring bias
- ➜ Cognitive fixation
- ➜ Competing hypotheses
- ➜ Alternative hypotheses
- ➜ Differential diagnosis (in medicine, as an analogous concept)
- ➜ Evidence-based reasoning
- ➜ Hypothesis testing
- ➜ Falsification
- ➜ Keeping an open investigative mind

One concise summary is:

> The objective of an investigation is not to prove the first plausible theory but to discover which explanation best accounts for all of the reliable evidence. Premature commitment to any single theory increases the risk that contradictory evidence will be overlooked and that promising alternative explanations will be abandoned before they have been fairly evaluated.

Lou Dobbs

Lou Dobbs had extensive experience in broadcast journalism and business news before becoming better known for political commentary.

Dobbs was one of the original anchors at CNN when the network launched in 1980. He hosted *Moneyline*, one of cable television's prominent business news programs, and became one of the best-known financial journalists in the United States.

On immigration, Dobbs became known for supporting stronger border enforcement and stricter measures against illegal immigration. He often argued that illegal immigration harmed American workers and wages.

On the "birther" issue, Dobbs gave airtime to claims questioning whether Barack Obama was a natural-born U.S. citizen. Those claims were widely rejected after Obama's Hawaiian birth records were documented, and Dobbs was criticized for continuing to discuss the issue.

Later, he hosted *Lou Dobbs Tonight* on the Fox Business Network and became strongly associated with hard-line immigration views and support for Donald Trump.

So your characterization is accurate: Dobbs was a veteran media figure with decades of experience, and he became especially well known for his positions on immigration and for his involvement in discussion surrounding the Obama birther controversy.

Ann Coulter has written extensively about what she describes as "racial demagoguery," meaning the use of racial issues, accusations, or resentments to inflame public opinion for political advantage. Policy differences often face relation as conspiracies against certain groups.

To actual Investigate Reporting on the US Presidency at great risk

Vetting politicians en face relation as conspiracies against the politicians under investigation.

Micheal Hasting and Andrew Breitbart

“Andrew Breitbart publicly argued that Barack Obama had not been sufficiently vetted and pursued stories about Obama’s background and college-era associations. Michael Hastings was also a confrontational reporter who covered Obama critically, but any claim that Hastings specifically believed Obama’s personal records had been altered should be stated cautiously unless tied to a direct source.”

To Jeff Rense who understands that when Government called Patriotism (including vetting then background of public officials) Terrorism then Terrorism is in control of Govenment.

Ed Donegan asserts that Patty Hearst, by virtue of the Hearst family's connections, had access to insider information concerning Richard Nixon and alleged MK-Ultra activities.

He further proposes that these activities were rooted in networks that he believes originated during the robber-baron era and even earlier colonial enterprises supported by George Hearst and associated financial and industrial interests, including J. P. Morgan, the DuPont family, the Mueller family, and others.

These claims represent Donegan's interpretation of historical events and relationships and should not be understood as established historical fact.

Ed Donegan further asserts that when Patty Hearst’s kidnappers attempted to “deprogram” her thinking about robber barons and alleged MK-Ultra operations, she already understood that a case could be made against MK-Ultra-related programs.

In Donegan’s interpretation, this meant that the kidnappers were not introducing an entirely unfamiliar framework to her, but were instead attempting to redirect or intensify ideas that she may already have understood through the Hearst family’s historical and political connections.

These claims are presented as Ed Donegan’s assertions and should not be treated as established historical fact without independent verification.